

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ADE & COMPANY INC. P.O. BOX 28006 1795 HENDERSON HIGHWAY WINNIPEG MB R2G1P-0 CA CANADA JUL 2 7 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of David Brault et al. Application No. 10/616,297

Filed: July 10, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 84215-302 ADB

**ON PETITION** 

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b),<sup>1</sup> filed March 13, 2006, to revive the above identified application.

## The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply to the Final Office Action mailed July 19, 2005. A shortened statutory period of three months was set for replying to the Final Office Action. No extensions of time having been filed, the application became abandoned October 20, 2005. Accordingly, the Notice of Abandonment was mailed February 23, 2006.

In response to the Final Office Action mailed July 19, 2005, petitioner has submitted a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) <u>must</u> be accompanied by:

<sup>(1)</sup> the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

<sup>(2)</sup> the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

<sup>(3)</sup> a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

<sup>(4)</sup> any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and an amendment as the submission required under 37 CFR 1.114.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center 3643 for processing of the RCE and submission.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions